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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) and microradiographic analyses were comparatively performed in maxillary sinus augmentation to preliminarily verify the diagnostic potential of CBCT on the evaluation of bone regeneration.

Materials and Methods: A two-stage protocol was conducted in 19 consenting patients, all having the crestal bone ≥2 mm, in private dental office. Mineralized human bone allograft particles were used to augment sinus using lateral window approach. A succession of CBCT scans of the maxilla was taken before surgery, after sinus augmentation, and immediately after implant insertion. Using virtual probes, CBCT data were processed by medical imaging software and expressed as gray level (GL). A bone core biopsy was taken at implant placement, 6 months after surgery. Microradiography of transverse sections, taken 6, 8, and 10 mm from the crestal surface, of methacrylate-embedded biopsies was performed to analyze and to evaluate the mineralized material amount (MM%).

Results: A total of 21 sinus augmentations were performed. CBCT (mean GL: 646–693) data were not statistically different when comparing 6-, 8-, and 10-mm sites to after grafting/implant-insertion values. Furthermore, microradiographic (mean MM%: 45.3–48.3) data were not statistically different comparing 6-, 8-, and 10-mm sites, due to variation of values among patients. A GL and MM% parallelism was identified considering each patient, instead. A significant correlation (p < .001) between GL and MM% was found after both Wilcoxon test for paired data and simple linear regression analysis.

Conclusions: The preliminary result clearly demonstrated the predictability of the CBCT analysis. Due to the limited sample and great variations of the MM% recorded in patients, further clinical and morphometric studies are needed to fulfill diagnostic expectations.
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INTRODUCTION

The axial computer-assisted tomography (computed tomography [CT]) allowed a perspective always missing from conventional radiography, i.e., the body sectioning in the third dimension. Besides this important feature, CT can provide quantitative data about the bone mineral density, and this information was promptly correlated with those of other imaging techniques or bone status. CT scans have been correlated with histomorphometry to discover the CT predictive value on bone density, volume, structures and remodeling, or implant stability. The introduction of the cone beam...
CT (CBCT) reduced the scanning time, lowering the irradiation dose and cost, allowing the use of CBCT in dental office as standard instrument. In dentistry, CBCT improved diagnosis and provided additional information for treatment consultation. Additionally, CBCT could be predictive, like conventional CT, as gray density values for the subjective bone quality classification.

Histomorphometry can be performed on two different histological media: thin, usually 5 to 7 μm, and thick, about 100 μm, sections. The first can be performed from paraffin or poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA)-embedded specimen, but both suffer from artifacts due to sectioning. Furthermore, stained thick sections might show some trouble as only the surface is stained. Microradiography, also called contact radiography, of thick sections, an analytical way very much liked to CT, might obviate to these problems as it allows the reliable and reproducible investigation of the trabecular architecture of bone on histological media without spatial deformations. The absence of spatial deformation of microradiographs allowed the quantitative evaluation of the bone mineralization degree or comparison with CT in reconstruction of critical size bone defects.

On the contrary, the microradiographic analysis was never used to perform comparative studies, aiming the evaluation of CT potentialities. In particular, almost all comparative studies performed the analysis of the grouped CT scan with all collected histomorphometry, but no study was performed comparing CT scan and section microradiography of the same site in the same patient.

The purpose of this work was the correlative analysis of CBCT densitometric data with microradiograph morphometry to verify the diagnostic potential of CBCT on the evaluation of mineral material content after maxillary sinus augmentation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Surgery**

The patients of this study were partially (at least molars and premolars missing) or totally edentulous and needing of either unilateral or bilateral maxillary sinus augmentation. The patients, examined and treated in private dental office, were selected from a pool of subjects, which, using panoramic radiographs, require maxillary sinus augmentation for the placement of delayed posterior implants, and enrolled consecutively.
the assistance of surgical guides that was based upon the individual prosthetic requirements, 6 months after sinus augmentation procedures. As the final position of the drill can greatly vary, the drilling was performed up to 14 mm to allow proper length to harvest core specimens. Implant sites were accurately planned to place exactly implants in purely augmented skeletal segments, including possible residual crest. The retrieved bone core samples were immediately washed in sterile saline, gently wiped, labeled on the crestal (periosteal) surface by China (black) ink-pad blotting, then fixed for the microradiographic study.

**CBCT**

Apart from the preoperative panoramic radiographs, a succession of CBCT scans (ProMax 3D Max CBVT, Planmeca OY, Helsinki, Finland) of the maxilla was taken for each patient. CBCT was scheduled before surgery, after sinus augmentation, and immediately after implant insertion. The DICOM data were processed with a medical imaging software (3Diagnosys® 3.0-3DIEMME, Cantù CO, Italy), which gives the possibility to use a virtual probe to extract the bone density values in the desired regions and export them in Excel tables for statistical analysis. The virtual probes had a diameter of 6 or 7 mm (2 mm wider than planned implants) and were set in regions of the sinus augmentation or implant insertion. Each probe extracts the voxel gray level (GL) data surrounding the region of interest and along the whole direction of the implant; in this way, it is possible to analyze the image GLs correspondent to the selected tissue densities and make a comparison between the different samples.

Bone density values around implants were collected excluding the area affected by artifacts such as photon beam hardening. The data extracted were expressed in GL, as the CBCT system is not calibrated in Hounsfield units (HU) like a Medical Quantitative CT. This calibration factor is not a limit as all the data have been acquired with the same machine and a comparative analysis has been employed between the different acquisitions. The mean ± standard deviation of GL was calculated in CBCT sections at 6, 8, and 10 mm from the crestal surface.

**Microradiography**

The cylindrical bone samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (all reagents came from Fluka, Sigma-Aldrich Schweiz, Buchs SG, Switzerland) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, for 4 hours at room temperature. Specimens were dehydrated through ethanol series at 4°C, then embedded in PMMA using a water bath at 4°C as described elsewhere.

Using a diamond saw microtome (SP1600, Leica Microsystems, Nussloch, Germany), each PMMA-embedded bone sample was transversely sectioned to obtain thick sections (200 µm) of the cylindrical sample at 5.9, 7.9, and 9.9 mm from the crestal (periosteal) surface. The section was reduced to 100 µm by grinding, perfectly polished with emery paper and alumina, then x-ray microradiographed (3K5, Italstructures, Riva del Garda TN, Italy) at 15 kV and 10 mA on high-resolution film (SO 343, Eastman Kodak Co, Rochester, NY, USA).

The microradiographs were analyzed and photographed using a microscope (Axiphot, Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) under ordinary light. The amount of mineralized material (MM%), (bone volume [BV] + graft volume [GV])/tissue volume [TV], was evaluated on the microradiographs using a suitable program for image analyzer and software (AnalySIS®, Soft Imaging System GmbH, Münster, Germany).

**Statistical Analysis**

Comparisons were performed by means of the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test, Wilcoxon test for paired data, and the linear regression analysis. The null hypothesis $H_0$ was rejected for a critical significance level of $p < .05$.

**RESULTS**

**Surgery**

Nineteen selected patients, 8 males and 11 females, aged between 36 and 75, mean $\pm$ 56.8 years, underwent unilateral ($n = 17$) or bilateral ($n = 2$) maxillary sinus augmentation with a total of 21 treated sinuses. Primary wound closure was obtained in all surgeries and no complaint or adverse effects were observed during the follow-up.

A total of 21 core biopsies (Figure 1) and correspondingly a total of 21 implants were placed in those sites according to individual implant-prosthetic-treatment plan, which were obtained from the 19 patients.

**CBCT**

CBCT analyses were regularly performed in each patient before surgery, immediately after sinus grafting, and at
implant insertion (see Figure 1). No remarkable differences in the hard tissue density of each sinus lift were observed at the CBCT visual analysis.

The postgrafting and implant-insertion GL, recorded at 6, 8, and 10 mm in the 21 sinuses, is summarized in Table 1. The mean GL values ranged between six hundred forty-six and six hundred ninety-three, with maximum values at 8-mm sites, both after grafting and at implant-insertion times. No great differences existed between mean GL values, both comparing “after grafting” and “at implant-insertion” sites or “after grafting” and “at implant-insertion” corresponding sites (see Table 1).

**Microradiography**

Six months after the surgery, the biopsies showed a composite formed by MHBA particles and newly formed bone trabeculae (Figure 2). The majority of MHBA particles were directly connected with the newly formed bone, and very few particles were totally surrounded by the fibrous tissue.

![Figure 1](image1.png) **Figure 1** Representative image of G.F. (66-year-old) right-maxillary sinus: anteroposterior CT before (A), immediately after augmentation (B), 12-mm core biopsy after trephining before ink labeling (C), and anteroposterior CT at implant insertion (D). Note the starting minimal amount of crestal bone (≤2 mm). Density evaluations were performed inside the red virtual probe. CT = computed tomography; G.F. = initials of the patient.

![Figure 2](image2.png) **Figure 2** Representative image of two-core biopsies showing the microradiographs of sections performed at the indicated level (millimeter). Note in R.N., how the mineralized material (bone and MHBA particles [•]) amount increases from the inner (10 mm) to the outer (6 mm) site, while in M.P.C., the mineralized material amount decreases from the inner to the outer site. MHBA = mineralized human bone allograft; M.P.C. = initials of the patient; R.N. = initials of the patient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1 GLs of the 21 Patient Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>After Grafting</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GL CBCT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p (K-W)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. CBCT = cone beam computed tomography; GL = gray level; p (K-W) = probability after Kruskal-Wallis test; p (W) = probability after Wilcoxon test for paired data.
individual trend: some patients showed the greater amount in the 6-mm sections, while others showed different or even opposite trends (see Figure 2). Nevertheless, no great differences existed between mean MM% values comparing the microradiographic evaluations at 6, 8, and 10 mm.

**Statistical Analysis**

Figure 3, a graphic representation of MM% of microradiographic sections and GL of CBCT scans at implant insertion in five subjects, shows the different GL and MM% values. Each subject had not only different values from others but also a different trend: the GL increased from the inner to the crestal side in some subjects but remained unchanged or even decreased in other patients (see Figure 3). Microradiographs showed a similar behavior with different values and trend for each patient. From the inner to the crestal side, the MM% increased in some subjects, remained unchanged, or decreased in other subjects (see Figure 3).

The great individual differences in GL were the underlying cause of the absence of significant differences between mean GL values (see Table 1), both among “after grafting” and “at implant-insertion” sites (Kruskal-Wallis test) and between “after grafting” and “at implant-insertion” corresponding sites (Wilcoxon test for paired data). Correspondingly (see Table 2), the great individual differences in MM% of microradiographic sections were the underlying cause of the absence of significant differences between sites (Kruskal-Wallis test).

Beyond GL and MM% differences among maxillary sinus augmentations (see Figure 3), it was possible to assess a relationship between GL and MM% both after Wilcoxon test (Table 3) and linear regression analysis (Figure 4). The correlation between individual GL and MM% at 6-, 8-, and 10-mm sites resulted statistically significant ($p < .001$) after Wilcoxon test for paired data (see Table 3). A strict correlation between the mean GL and mean MM%, which represent better the radiographic level of each maxillary sinus augmentation,
existed (see Figure 4). The simple linear regression analysis indicated that mean GL = 0.0414 (mean MM%) + 17.513, with a correlation coefficient $r = 0.7707$ and a consequent $p < .001$ after $t$-test.

**DISCUSSION**

The more accurate the diagnosis, the better the treatment should be. Nevertheless, due to the lower radiation rate and particularly in child, two-dimensional radiographs are recommended for routine preoperative dentistry. Dental CBCT is particularly useful or essential in pathologic condition of the jaws, orthodontics, and implant dentistry following bone regeneration. Although the CBCT doses are significantly lower than conventional CT, it seems reasonable to limit CBCT use due to the exposure doses, which is slightly higher than two-dimensional radiology.

CT predictability has been tested in studies on cadaveric bone, the relationship between densitometry and conventional histomorphometry of grafted and not-grafted maxillae, and between densitometry and microradiograph of grafted defects. Most of the studies sustained the correlation between CT and bone amount (BV/TV), whereas a study not only did not but also disclaimed CT predictability.

Our aim was undoubtedly challenging: to demonstrate the possibility of CBCT analysis to define the implant-insertion time in the dental office. Our results show that the CBCT apparatus, supplied with the suited software, can very well estimate the bone content of a site, even if the application must be improved by further studies.

Our study differed from those previously cited, not only for the use of two methods (CBCT and microradiography) employing the same physical analytic principle, the x-rays, but also for the analysis performance in the same sites of each patient.

First, both in CBCT and microradiographs, the results showed an extremely different response in the displacement of the bone in the sites of the patients. Against all the odds, i.e., a bone amount greater in the external part and lower in the internal part of the crest, patients illustrated uniform distribution of bone amount or even an opposite behavior (greater – internal; lower – external) along the crest. This had two different explanations that singly or jointly may affect the result. We often observed some bone residues at the external extremity of core (grafted bone) biopsies. These are due to improper loads, such as bolus squashing, produced by the patients during the healing. This causes the external bone fragmentation and the thickening of the bone at the back by new bone formation. Second, the vascularization, which differs in each patient, may affect the bone formation and remodeling differently. Both of these activities can produce different amounts of bone, which may be at the basis of the contradictoriness or CT unpredictability found by some authors.

About the absence of a perfect parallelism between CBCT data and the BV/TV of patient sites, it must be stressed that the results are achieved using two completely different analytical systems. The microradiograph is soft x-ray radiograph of a thin section touching a photographic film. In CBCT system, the x-rays penetrate soft and hard tissues prior to be detected by sensors, and suitable software will process the signal to

**TABLE 3 GLs and Mineralized Material Content of the 21 Patients at Implant Insertion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GL (mm)</th>
<th>GL CBCT</th>
<th>p (W)</th>
<th>MM%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>687.2 ± 264.0</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>45.35 ± 15.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>693.0 ± 252.2</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>48.31 ± 16.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>646.7 ± 282.1</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>47.29 ± 17.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation

CBCT = cone beam computed tomography; GL = gray level; MM% = mineralized material amount; $p$ (W) = probability after Wilcoxon test for paired data.

**Figure 4** Relationship between the mineralized material amount (MM%) of the microradiographs and the gray level (GL) of the 21 subjects at implant-insertion time. Each point represents the mean of 6-, 8-, and 10-mm values. The superimposed regression line has a very good correlation coefficient ($r = 0.7707$).
generate each single pixel. Consequently, the CBCT analysis depends on patient characteristics, geometry, oral sites, and software capabilities and may produce some artifacts. Moreover, the main cause of the differences can be probably found in the unavoidable CBCT examination of the bone surrounding the implant and not that related to the core biopsy. This was due to ethical requirements, which did not allow the double CBCT scans, immediately before and after the implant placement.

On the other hand, the processing of CBCT data has an essential role in the analysis. The 3Diagnosys® 3.0, medical imaging software, originates a virtual probe to extract the bone density values as GLs instead of the commonly used HUs. Analyzing our results, it can be stressed that no longer differences exist comparing GL and BV/TV of the three sites of each patient: a parallelism between GL values and BV/TV can be clearly seen.

The strict relationship between GL values of CBCT analysis and the BV/TV of microradiographies showed by our results fulfilled the goal of our study: demonstration predictability of the CBCT analysis. However, due to the sample size and great variations of the MM% recorded, further studies, in particular clinical studies even joined to morphometric ones, are needed to prove that the observed bone quantity is the right amount for that particular patient, and a longer healing time can only result further bone amount decrease.
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